

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,860	10/01/2004	Wolfgang Heukamp	MY-24PCT	4318
40570 FRIEDRICH K	7590 03/16/2007 UIEFFNER		EXAMINER	
317 MADISON	NAVENUE, SUITE 910	0	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/509,860	HEUKAMP, WOLFGANG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph A. Kaufman	3754	

		Joseph A. Kautman	3/54	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 21 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Ò6.07(f).		
have under set fo may i	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the inth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. [	•	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
<b>О.</b> <u>С</u>	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	, ,	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗀	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
6. [	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🗌	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFF	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [	Other:		_ 1	
			Joseph Al Kaufma Primary Examiner	3/12/07
			Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains that the portion of cap 2 at 11 meets the claimed limitations. The remaining arguments are also not found persuasive.